

FACT SHEET FOR NPDES PERMIT WA-002240-3

FACILITY NAME: Snoqualmie Wastewater Treatment Facility

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....	4
GENERAL INFORMATION	5
BACKGROUND INFORMATION.....	5
DESCRIPTION OF THE FACILITY	5
History	5
Collection System Status and Treatment Processes.....	6
Discharge Outfall	7
Residual Solids.....	7
PERMIT STATUS	7
SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE PREVIOUS PERMIT	7
WASTEWATER CHARACTERIZATION	7
PROPOSED PERMIT LIMITATIONS	8
DESIGN CRITERIA	8
TECHNOLOGY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS	9
SURFACE WATER QUALITY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS	9
Numerical Criteria for the Protection of Aquatic Life	10
Numerical Criteria for the Protection of Human Health	10
Narrative Criteria.....	10
Antidegradation	10
Critical Conditions	10
Mixing Zones	11
Description of the Receiving Water	11
Surface Water Quality Criteria.....	11
Consideration of Surface Water Quality-Based Limits for Numeric Criteria.....	11
Whole Effluent Toxicity.....	13
Human Health	14
Sediment Quality.....	15
GROUND WATER QUALITY LIMITATIONS	15
COMPARISON OF EFFLUENT LIMITS FOR DISCHARGES TO THE SNOQUALMIE RIVER WITH THE EXISTING PERMIT ISSUED IN NOVEMBER 1994.	15
MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	16
EFFLUENT LIMITS BELOW QUANTITATION	16
EFFLUENT LIMITS BELOW DETECTION	16
LAB ACCREDITATION	16

FACT SHEET FOR NPDES PERMIT WA-002240-3

FACILITY NAME: Snoqualmie Wastewater Treatment Facility

OTHER PERMIT CONDITIONS	16
REPORTING AND RECORDKEEPING	16
PREVENTION OF FACILITY OVERLOADING	17
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (O&M)	17
RESIDUAL SOLIDS HANDLING	17
PRETREATMENT	17
Federal and State Pretreatment Program Requirements	17
Wastewater Permit Required	18
Requirements for Routine Identification and Reporting of Industrial Users	18
Requirements for Performing an Industrial User Survey	19
Duty to Enforce Discharge Prohibitions	19
Support by the Department for Developing Partial Pretreatment Program by POTW	19
OUTFALL EVALUATION	19
GENERAL CONDITIONS	20
PERMIT ISSUANCE PROCEDURES.....	20
PERMIT MODIFICATIONS	20
RECOMMENDATION FOR PERMIT ISSUANCE	20
REFERENCES FOR TEXT AND APPENDICES	21
APPENDIX A--PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT INFORMATION	22
APPENDIX B--GLOSSARY	23
APPENDIX C--TECHNICAL CALCULATIONS	29

INTRODUCTION

The Federal Clean Water Act (FCWA, 1972, and later modifications, 1977, 1981, and 1987) established water quality goals for the navigable (surface) waters of the United States. One of the mechanisms for achieving the goals of the Clean Water Act is the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System of permits (NPDES permits), which is administered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The EPA has delegated responsibility to administer the NPDES permit program to the state of Washington on the basis of Chapter 90.48 RCW which defines the Department of Ecology's authority and obligations in administering the wastewater discharge permit program.

The regulations adopted by the state include procedures for issuing permits (Chapter 173-220 WAC), technical criteria for discharges from municipal wastewater treatment facilities (Chapter 173-221 WAC), water quality criteria for surface and ground waters (Chapters 173-201A and 200 WAC), and sediment management standards (Chapter 173-204 WAC). These regulations require that a permit be issued before discharge of wastewater to waters of the state is allowed. The regulations also establish the basis for effluent limitations and other requirements which are to be included in the permit. One of the requirements (WAC 173-220-060) for issuing a permit under the NPDES permit program is the preparation of a draft permit and an accompanying fact sheet. Public notice of the availability of the draft permit is required at least thirty (30) days before the permit is issued (WAC 173-220-050). The fact sheet and draft permit are available for review (see Appendix A--Public Involvement of the fact sheet for more detail on the public notice procedures).

The fact sheet and draft permit have been reviewed by the Permittee. Errors and omissions identified in this review have been corrected before going to public notice. After the public comment period has closed, the Department will summarize the substantive comments and the response to each comment. The summary and response to comments will become part of the file on the permit and parties submitting comments will receive a copy of the Department's response. The fact sheet will not be revised. Comments and the resultant changes to the permit will be summarized in Appendix D--Response to Comments.

GENERAL INFORMATION	
Applicant	City of Snoqualmie
Facility Name and Address	Snoqualmie Wastewater Treatment Facility 38210 SE Mill Pond Road Snoqualmie, WA 98065
Type of Treatment	Activated Sludge, Extended Aeration Oxidation Ditch
Discharge Location	Water Body Name: Snoqualmie River <u>Discharge Location, Reclaimed Water or Secondary Effluent (River Discharge):</u> Latitude: 47° 32' 21" N Longitude: 121° 49' 56" W <u>Reclaimed Water (Golf Course):</u> Latitude: 47° 28' 07" N Longitude: 122° 17' 37" W
Water Body ID Number	WA - 07-1100

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

DESCRIPTION OF THE FACILITY

HISTORY

The Snoqualmie Wastewater Treatment Plant consists of an influent structure, mechanical bar screen, grit chamber, one oxidation ditch, two clarifiers, two rapid sand filters, UV light disinfection system, two facultative sludge lagoons, and discharge to the Snoqualmie River through a 36-inch diameter, 1500-foot submerged pipe outfall. A schematic of the plant is shown in Figure 2.

The original plant consisted of two lagoons that were constructed and made operational in 1967. A facilities plan written in 1975 recommended a plant upgrade to an activated sludge system; however, the plant was never upgraded. Instead, the City added a mechanical surface aerator to each cell to increase the effectiveness of the lagoons. The collection system on the south side of the Snoqualmie River includes one pump station. The collection system includes twelve city pump stations and three private pump stations. The Snoqualmie Comprehensive Sewer Plan (December 1991) states that the collection system lies entirely within the 100-year flood plain and would be covered with seven feet of water in a 100-year flood. The permit application says there is one storm catch basin connected to the sanitary sewer "by order of the Superior Court of the state of Washington."

The Snoqualmie facility is a Class III wastewater treatment plant, as defined in WAC 173-230-140. The current operator holds a Group IV operator certification.

The permit application says the plant serves 2,010 persons in the city of Snoqualmie. However, as of April 2001, the sewer service population has grown to approximately 4,100 people. The plant currently treats an annual average 0.3 mgd flow and a maximum month flow as high as 1.88 mgd. The permit application says industrial discharges are "negligible." Users are primarily residents, a hospital, schools, hotel, office park, retail businesses, and the Weyerhaeuser Mill log shipping operation (domestic sewage only).

Influent BOD and TSS averaged 162 mg/L and 124 mg/L, respectively. The BOD loading at the facility averaged 750 lbs./day and a maximum of 900 lbs./day have been observed. The TSS loading averaged 600 lbs./day with a maximum month load of 900 lbs./day have been observed.

COLLECTION SYSTEM STATUS AND TREATMENT PROCESSES

The city's collection system presently consists of twelve city pump stations and three private pump stations, which collects all domestic wastewater from the historical town of Snoqualmie, and from the newly constructed Snoqualmie Ridge project. Raw wastewater flows are also introduced at the headwork by the in-plant pump station. These flows include domestic wastewater from the Weyerhaeuser mill site, the Salish Lodge, and all wastewater produced by the daily operation of the wastewater treatment plant and the water treatment plant.

The collection system presently consists of twelve city pump stations and three private pump stations. They collect sewage from the historical town of Snoqualmie and the newly constructed Snoqualmie Ridge project.

The following pump stations are located on the south side of the river:

- Six in historic Snoqualmie (four built in 1967, one built in 1988, and one built in 1997)
- Four in Snoqualmie Ridge (all built in 1997)
- One Kimball Creek pump station (built in 1997), which receives sewage from the above ten pump stations and pumps all of the sewage from the south side of the river to the wastewater treatment plant headworks on the north side of the river
- Private middle school pump station

The following pump stations are located on the north side of the river:

- One WWTP in-plant pump station (built in 1997), which discharges to the WWTP headworks and receives WWTP recycle loads, sewage from the City public works building, Salish Lodge, and Weyerhaeuser Mill and backwash from the City water treatment plant
- Private Salish Lodge pump station (discharges to the in-plant pump station)
- Private Weyerhaeuser Mill pump station (discharges to the in-plant pump station)

The amount of flow from industrial users within the city of Snoqualmie sewerage system is negligible and, therefore, the plant influent is mainly consisted of domestic wastewater.

FACT SHEET FOR NPDES PERMIT WA-002240-3

FACILITY NAME: Snoqualmie Wastewater Treatment Facility

DISCHARGE OUTFALL

Secondary treated and disinfected effluent is discharged from the facility via a single port 36" outfall into the Snoqualmie River.

RESIDUAL SOLIDS

The treatment facilities remove solids during the treatment of the wastewater at the headworks (grit and screenings), and at the secondary clarifiers, in addition to incidental solids (rags, scum, and other debris) removed as part of the routine maintenance of the equipment. Grit, rags, scum, and screenings are drained and disposed of as solid waste at the local landfill. Solids removed from the two clarifiers are stored and treated by two preventative digestion ponds.

PERMIT STATUS

The previous permit for this facility was issued on November 15, 1994. The previous permit placed effluent limitations on 5-day Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅), Total Suspended Solids (TSS), pH, Fecal Coliform Bacteria, Total Residual Chlorine, Ammonia, and Copper.

An application for permit renewal was submitted to the Department on December 15, 1998, and accepted by the Department on October 2, 2001.

SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE PREVIOUS PERMIT

The facility received its last inspection on September 14, 2001, which was a compliance inspection without sampling.

WASTEWATER CHARACTERIZATION

The concentration of pollutants in the discharge was reported in the NPDES application and in discharge monitoring reports. The raw wastewater is characterized as follows:

Table 1: Wastewater Characterization

Parameter	Concentration
BOD ₅	162 mg/L
TSS	124 mg/L
Temperature	51.3 – 72.1° F
Kjeldahl Nitrogen	18 mg/L
Nitrate (as N)	0.062 mg/L
Nitrite (as N)	0.062 mg/L
Total Phosphorous (as P)	2.6 mg/L

PROPOSED PERMIT LIMITATIONS

Federal and state regulations require that effluent limitations set forth in a NPDES permit must be either technology- or water quality-based. Technology-based limitations for municipal discharges are set by regulation (40 CFR 133, and Chapters 173-220 and 173-221 WAC). Water quality-based limitations are based upon compliance with the Surface Water Quality Standards (Chapter 173-201A WAC), Ground Water Standards (Chapter 173-200 WAC), Sediment Quality Standards (Chapter 173-204 WAC), or the National Toxics Rule (Federal Register, Volume 57, No. 246, Tuesday, December 22, 1992.) The most stringent of these types of limits must be chosen for each of the parameters of concern. Each of these types of limits is described in more detail below.

The limits in this permit are based in part on information received in the application. The effluent constituents in the application were evaluated on a technology- and water quality-basis. The limits necessary to meet the rules and regulations of the state of Washington were determined and included in this permit. Ecology does not develop effluent limits for all pollutants that may be reported on the application as present in the effluent. Some pollutants are not treatable at the concentrations reported, are not controllable at the source, are not listed in regulation, and do not have a reasonable potential to cause a water quality violation. Effluent limits are not always developed for pollutants that may be in the discharge but not reported as present in the application. In those circumstances, the permit does not authorize discharge of the non-reported pollutants. Effluent discharge conditions may change from the conditions reported in the permit application. If significant changes occur in any constituent, as described in 40 CFR 122.42(a), the Permittee is required to notify the Department of Ecology. The Permittee may be in violation of the permit until the permit is modified to reflect additional discharge of pollutants.

DESIGN CRITERIA

In accordance with WAC 173-220-150 (1)(g), flows or waste loadings shall not exceed approved design criteria.

The design criteria for this treatment facility are taken from the city of Snoqualmie Wastewater Facilities Engineering Report prepared by KCM in April 1995 and approved by the Department of Ecology in May 1995 and are as follows:

Table 2: Design Standards for the City of Snoqualmie WWTP

Parameter	Design Quantity	
	Phase I (2000)	Phase II (2014)
Monthly average flow (max. month)	1.24 MGD	2.15 MGD
Peak hourly flow	4.26 MGD	7.3 MGD
BOD ₅ influent loading	2860 lbs./day	5220 lbs./day
TSS influent loading (maximum month)	2860 lbs./day	5220 lbs./day

TECHNOLOGY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS

Municipal wastewater treatment plants are a category of discharger for which technology-based effluent limits have been promulgated by federal and state regulations. These effluent limitations are given in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 40 CFR Part 133 (federal) and in Chapter 173-221 WAC (state). These regulations are performance standards that constitute all known available and reasonable methods of prevention, control, and treatment for municipal wastewater.

The following technology-based limits for pH, fecal coliform, BOD₅, and TSS are taken from Chapter 173-221 WAC:

Table 3: Technology-based Limits

Parameter	Limit
pH	shall be within the range of 6 to 9 standard units.
Fecal Coliform Bacteria	Monthly Geometric Mean = 200 organisms/100 mL Weekly Geometric Mean = 400 organisms/100 mL
BOD ₅ (concentration)	Average Monthly Limit is the most stringent of the following: - 30 mg/L - may not exceed fifteen percent (15%) of the average influent concentration Average Weekly Limit = 45 mg/L
TSS (concentration)	Average Monthly Limit is the most stringent of the following: - 30 mg/L - may not exceed fifteen percent (15%) of the average influent concentration Average Weekly Limit = 45 mg/L

The following technology-based mass limits are based on WAC 173-220-130(3)(b) and 173-221-030(11)(b).

Monthly effluent mass loadings (lbs./day) were calculated as the maximum monthly design flow (2.15 MGD) x concentration limit (30 mg/L) x 8.34 (conversion factor) = 538 mass limit lbs./day.

The weekly average effluent mass loading is calculated as 1.5 x monthly loading = 807 lbs./day.

SURFACE WATER QUALITY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS

In order to protect existing water quality and preserve the designated beneficial uses of Washington's surface waters, WAC 173-201A-060 states that waste discharge permits shall be conditioned such that the discharge will meet established Surface Water Quality Standards. The Washington State Surface Water Quality Standards (Chapter 173-201A WAC) is a state regulation designed to protect the beneficial uses of the surface waters of the state. Water quality-based effluent limitations may be based on an individual waste load allocation (WLA) or on a WLA developed during a basin-wide total maximum daily loading study (TMDL).

NUMERICAL CRITERIA FOR THE PROTECTION OF AQUATIC LIFE

"Numerical" water quality criteria are numerical values set forth in the state of Washington's Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters (Chapter 173-201A WAC). They specify the levels of pollutants allowed in a receiving water while remaining protective of aquatic life. Numerical criteria set forth in the Water Quality Standards are used along with chemical and physical data for the wastewater and receiving water to derive the effluent limits in the discharge permit. When surface water quality-based limits are more stringent or potentially more stringent than technology-based limitations, they must be used in a permit.

NUMERICAL CRITERIA FOR THE PROTECTION OF HUMAN HEALTH

The state was issued 91 numeric water quality criteria for the protection of human health by the U.S. EPA (EPA, 1992). These criteria are designed to protect humans from cancer and other disease and are primarily applicable to fish and shellfish consumption and drinking water from surface waters.

NARRATIVE CRITERIA

In addition to numerical criteria, "narrative" water quality criteria (WAC 173-201A-030) limit toxic, radioactive, or deleterious material concentrations below those which have the potential to adversely affect characteristic water uses, cause acute or chronic toxicity to biota, impair aesthetic values, or adversely affect human health. Narrative criteria protect the specific beneficial uses of all fresh (WAC 173-201A-130) and marine (WAC 173-201A-140) waters in the state of Washington.

ANTIDegradation

The state of Washington's Antidegradation Policy requires that discharges into a receiving water shall not further degrade the existing water quality of the water body. In cases where the natural conditions of a receiving water are of lower quality than the criteria assigned, the natural conditions shall constitute the water quality criteria. Similarly, when the natural conditions of a receiving water are of higher quality than the criteria assigned, the natural conditions shall constitute the water quality criteria. More information on the state Antidegradation Policy can be obtained by referring to WAC 173-201A-070.

CRITICAL CONDITIONS

Surface water quality-based limits are derived for the waterbody's critical condition, which represents the receiving water and waste discharge condition with the highest potential for adverse impact on the aquatic biota, human health, and existing or characteristic water body uses.

MIXING ZONES

The Water Quality Standards allow the Department of Ecology to authorize mixing zones around a point of discharge in establishing surface water quality-based effluent limits. Both "acute" and "chronic" mixing zones may be authorized for pollutants that can have a toxic effect on the aquatic environment near the point of discharge. The concentration of pollutants at the boundary of these mixing zones may not exceed the numerical criteria for that type of zone. Mixing zones can only be authorized for discharges that are receiving all known, available, and reasonable methods of prevention, control and treatment (AKART) and in accordance with other mixing zone requirements of WAC 173-201A-100.

The National Toxics Rule (EPA, 1992) allows the chronic mixing zone to be used to meet human health criteria.

DESCRIPTION OF THE RECEIVING WATER

The facility discharges to the Snoqualmie River, which is designated as a Class A receiving water in the vicinity of the outfall. Characteristic uses include the following:

water supply (domestic, industrial, agricultural); stock watering; fish migration; fish and shellfish rearing, spawning and harvesting; wildlife habitat; primary contact recreation; sport fishing; boating and aesthetic enjoyment; commerce and navigation.

Water quality of this class shall meet or exceed the requirements for all or substantially all uses.

SURFACE WATER QUALITY CRITERIA

Applicable criteria are defined in Chapter 173-201A WAC for aquatic biota. In addition, U.S. EPA has promulgated human health criteria for toxic pollutants (EPA, 1992). Criteria for this discharge are summarized below:

Fecal Coliforms	100 organisms/100 mL maximum geometric mean
Dissolved Oxygen	8 mg/L minimum
Temperature	18 degrees Celsius maximum or incremental increases above background
pH	6.5 to 8.5 standard units
Turbidity	less than 5 NTUs above background
Toxics	No toxics in toxic amounts (see Appendix C for numeric criteria for toxics of concern for this discharge)

CONSIDERATION OF SURFACE WATER QUALITY-BASED LIMITS FOR NUMERIC CRITERIA

Pollutant concentrations in the proposed discharge exceed water quality criteria with technology-based controls, which the Department has determined to be AKART. A mixing zone is authorized in accordance with the geometric configuration, flow restriction, and other restrictions for mixing zones in Chapter 173-201A WAC and is defined as follows:

The dilution factors of effluent to receiving water that occur within these zones have been determined at the critical condition by the use of list models, dye studies used. The dilution factors have been determined to be (from Appendix C):

	Acute	Chronic
Aquatic Life	4.1	14.3
Human Health, Carcinogen		14.3
Human Health, Non-carcinogen		14.3

Pollutants in an effluent may affect the aquatic environment near the point of discharge (near field) or at a considerable distance from the point of discharge (far field). Toxic pollutants, for example, are near-field pollutants--their adverse effects diminish rapidly with mixing in the receiving water. Conversely, a pollutant such as BOD is a far-field pollutant whose adverse effect occurs away from the discharge even after dilution has occurred. Thus, the method of calculating water quality-based effluent limits varies with the point at which the pollutant has its maximum effect.

The derivation of water quality-based limits also takes into account the variability of the pollutant concentrations in both the effluent and the receiving water.

The critical condition for the Snoqualmie River is the seven day average low river flow with a recurrence interval of ten years (7Q10). Ambient data at critical conditions in the vicinity of the outfall was taken from the TMDL study which considered both historical data and an intensive monitoring study conducted in September-October 1990 and from the city ongoing sampling program.

BOD₅--Under critical conditions there was a prediction of a violation of the dissolved oxygen criterion for the receiving water. A BOD₅ effluent limit of 15 mg/L or 269 lbs./day was found to be protective of the dissolved oxygen criterion and therefore was imposed instead of the technology-based limitation.

The impact of BOD on the receiving water was modeled at critical condition and with the technology-based effluent limitation for BOD₅ described under "Technology-Based Effluent Limitations" above and described in the "Snoqualmie River TMDL Study" by the Department of Ecology in May 1994.

Temperature and pH--The impact of pH and temperature was modeled using the calculations from EPA, 1988. The input variables were dilution factor 7:1 and 25:1 for acute and chronic, respectively; upstream temperature 12.7° C; upstream pH 7.2; upstream alkalinity 46 (as mg CaCO₃/L); effluent temperature 17° C; effluent pH of 7.3; effluent pH of 9; and effluent alkalinity 70 (as mg CaCO₃/L).

Under critical conditions there is no predicted violation of the Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters. Therefore, the technology-based effluent limitations for pH were placed in the permit and temperature was not limited.

Fecal Coliform--The numbers of fecal coliform were modeled by simple mixing analysis using the technology-based limit of 400 organisms per 100 ml and a dilution factor of 7:1.

Under critical conditions there is no predicted violation of the Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters with the technology-based limit. Therefore, the technology-based effluent limitation for fecal coliform bacteria was placed in the proposed permit.

Toxic Pollutants--Federal regulations (40 CFR 122.44) require NPDES permits to contain effluent limits for toxic chemicals in an effluent whenever there is a reasonable potential for those chemicals to exceed the surface water quality criteria. This process occurs concurrently with the derivation of technology-based effluent limits. Facilities with technology-based effluent limits defined in regulation are not exempted from meeting the Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters or from having surface water quality-based effluent limits.

The following toxics were determined to be present in the discharge: ammonia and heavy metals. A reasonable potential analysis (see Appendix C) was conducted on these parameters to determine whether or not effluent limitations would be required in this permit.

The determination of the reasonable potential for these toxic chemicals to exceed the water quality criteria was evaluated with procedures given in EPA, 1991 (Appendix C) at the critical condition. The reasonable potential calculations indicated that there is no reasonable potential to exceed the water quality criteria at the critical condition. However, ammonia was limited based on a TMDL study that called for ammonia daily maximum limit of 5 mg/L.

WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY

The Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters require that the effluent not cause toxic effects in the receiving waters. Many toxic pollutants cannot be detected by commonly available detection methods. However, toxicity can be measured directly by exposing living organisms to the wastewater in laboratory tests and measuring the response of the organisms. Toxicity tests measure the aggregate toxicity of the whole effluent, and therefore this approach is called whole effluent toxicity (WET) testing. Some WET tests measure acute toxicity and other WET tests measure chronic toxicity.

Acute toxicity tests measure mortality as the significant response to the toxicity of the effluent. Dischargers who monitor their wastewater with acute toxicity tests are providing an indication of the potential lethal effect of the effluent to organisms in the receiving environment.

Chronic toxicity tests measure various sublethal toxic responses such as retarded growth or reduced reproduction. Chronic toxicity tests often involve either a complete life cycle test of an organism with an extremely short life cycle or a partial life cycle test on a critical stage of one of a test organism's life cycles. Organism survival is also measured in some chronic toxicity tests.

FACT SHEET FOR NPDES PERMIT WA-002240-3

FACILITY NAME: Snoqualmie Wastewater Treatment Facility

In accordance with WAC 173-205-040, the Permittee's effluent has been determined to have the potential to contain toxic chemicals. The proposed permit contains requirements for whole effluent toxicity testing as authorized by RCW 90.48.520 and 40 CFR 122.44 and in accordance with procedures in Chapter 173-205 WAC. The proposed permit requires the Permittee to conduct toxicity testing for one year in order to characterize both the acute and chronic toxicity of the effluent.

If acute or chronic toxicity is measured during effluent characterization at levels that, in accordance with WAC 173-205-050(2)(a), have a reasonable potential to cause receiving water toxicity, then the proposed permit will set a limit on the acute or chronic toxicity. The proposed permit will then require the Permittee to conduct WET testing in order to monitor for compliance with either an acute toxicity limit, a chronic toxicity limit, or both an acute and a chronic toxicity limit. The proposed permit also specifies the procedures the Permittee must use to come back into compliance if the limits are exceeded.

Accredited WET testing laboratories have the proper WET testing protocols, data requirements, and reporting format. Accredited laboratories are knowledgeable about WET testing and capable of calculating an NOEC, LC₅₀, EC₅₀, IC₂₅, etc. All accredited labs have been provided the most recent version of the Department of Ecology Publication # WQ-R-95-80, *Laboratory Guidance and Whole Effluent Toxicity Test Review Criteria*, which is referenced in the permit. Any Permittee interested in receiving a copy of this publication may call the Ecology Publications Distribution Center at (360) 407-7472 for a copy. Ecology recommends that Permittees send a copy of the acute or chronic toxicity sections(s) of their permits to their laboratory of choice.

When the WET tests during effluent characterization indicate that no reasonable potential exists to cause receiving water toxicity, the Permittee will not be given WET limits and will only be required to retest the effluent prior to application for permit renewal in order to demonstrate that toxicity has not increased in the effluent.

If the Permittee makes process or material changes which, in the Department's opinion, results in an increased potential for effluent toxicity, then the Department may require additional effluent characterization in a regulatory order, by permit modification, or in the permit renewal. Toxicity is assumed to have increased if WET testing conducted for submission with a permit application fails to meet the performance standards in WAC 173-205-020, "whole effluent toxicity performance standard." The Permittee may demonstrate to the Department that changes have not increased effluent toxicity by performing additional WET testing after the time the process or material changes have been made.

HUMAN HEALTH

Washington's Water Quality Standards now include 91 numeric health-based criteria that must be considered in NPDES permits. These criteria were promulgated for the state by the U.S. EPA in its National Toxics Rule (Federal Register, Volume 57, No. 246, Tuesday, December 22, 1992).

The Department has determined that the applicant's discharge is unlikely to contain chemicals regulated for human health. The discharge will be re-evaluated for impacts to human health at the next permit reissuance.

SEDIMENT QUALITY

The Department has promulgated aquatic sediment standards (Chapter 173-204 WAC) to protect aquatic biota and human health. These standards state that the Department may require Permittees to evaluate the potential for the discharge to cause a violation of applicable standards (WAC 173-204-400).

The Department has determined through a review of the discharger characteristics and effluent characteristics that this discharge has no reasonable potential to violate the Sediment Management Standards.

GROUND WATER QUALITY LIMITATIONS

The Department has promulgated Ground Water Quality Standards (Chapter 173-200 WAC) to protect uses of ground water. Permits issued by the Department shall be conditioned in such a manner so as not to allow violations of those standards (WAC 173-200-100).

This Permittee has no discharge to ground and therefore no limitations are required based on potential effects to ground water.

LIST CONDITIONS:

COMPARISON OF EFFLUENT LIMITS FOR DISCHARGES TO THE SNOQUALMIE RIVER WITH THE EXISTING PERMIT ISSUED IN NOVEMBER 1994.

Parameters	Existing Limits			Proposed Limits		
	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Weekly Average	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Weekly Average
BOD₅	30 mg/L, 58 lbs./day	N/A	45 mg/L, 87 lbs./day	30 mg/L, 538 lbs./day (Nov.-July)	15 mg/L, (TMDL, August, Sept., October)	45 mg/L, 807 lbs./day (Nov.-July)
TSS	60 mg/L, 115 lbs./day	N/A	90 mg/L, 173 lbs./day	30 mg/L, 538 lbs./day (Nov.-July) 450 lbs./day (Aug.-Oct.)	N/A	45 mg/L, 807 lbs./day (Nov.-July) 676 lbs/day (Aug.-Oct.)
Fecal Coliform	200/100 mL		400/100 mL	200/100 mL	400/100 mL (TMDL, August, Sept., October)	400/100 mL
Chlorine	65 µg/L 0.12 lbs/day	190 µg/L	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ammonia	8.7 mg/L, 16.7 lbs/day	15 mg/L	N/A	N/A	5 mg/L (TMDL, August, Sept., October)	N/A
Copper	20 µg/L, 0.038 lbs/day	35 µg/L		N/A	N/A	N/A
Flow	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.8 MGD	N/A

MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

Monitoring, recording, and reporting are required (WAC 173-220-210 and 40 CFR 122.41) to verify that the treatment process is functioning correctly and the effluent limitations are being achieved.

The monitoring schedule is detailed in the proposed permit under Condition S.2. Specified monitoring frequencies take into account the quantity and variability of discharge, the treatment method, past compliance, significance of pollutants, and cost of monitoring. The required monitoring frequency is consistent with agency guidance given in the current version of Ecology's *Permit Writer's Manual* (July 1994) for the activated sludge oxidation ditch facility producing reclaimed class A water.

EFFLUENT LIMITS BELOW QUANTITATION

The Quantitation Level is the level at which concentrations can be reliably reported with a specified level of error. For maximum daily effluent limits, if the measured effluent concentration is below the Quantitation Level, the Permittee reports NQ for non-quantifiable. For average monthly effluent limits, all effluent concentrations below the Quantitation Level but above the Method Detection Level are used as reported for calculating the average monthly value.

EFFLUENT LIMITS BELOW DETECTION

The water quality-based effluent limits for mercury in the wastewater are below the capability of current analytical technology to detect. The Method Detection Level (MDL) is the minimum concentration of an analyte that can be measured and reported with a 99 percent confidence that its concentration is greater than zero as determined by a specific laboratory method. For maximum daily limits, if the concentrations are below the MDL, the Permittee reports ND for non-detectable. For average monthly limits, all values above the MDL are used as reported and all values below the MDL are calculated as zero.

LAB ACCREDITATION

With the exception of certain parameters, the permit requires all monitoring data to be prepared by a laboratory registered or accredited under the provisions of Chapter 173-50 WAC, *Accreditation of Environmental Laboratories*.

OTHER PERMIT CONDITIONS

REPORTING AND RECORDKEEPING

The conditions of S3. are based on the authority to specify any appropriate reporting and recordkeeping requirements to prevent and control waste discharges (WAC 173-220-210).

PREVENTION OF FACILITY OVERLOADING

Overloading of the treatment plant is a violation of the terms and conditions of the permit. To prevent this from occurring, RCW 90.48.110 and WAC 173-220-150 require the Permittee to take the actions detailed in proposed permit requirement S.4 to plan expansions or modifications before existing capacity is reached and to report and correct conditions that could result in new or increased discharges of pollutants. Condition S.4 restricts the amount of flow.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (O&M)

The proposed permit contains condition S.5 as authorized under RCW 90.48.110, WAC 173-220-150, Chapter 173-230 WAC, and WAC 173-240-080. It is included to ensure proper operation and regular maintenance of equipment, and to ensure that adequate safeguards are taken so that constructed facilities are used to their optimum potential in terms of pollutant capture and treatment.

RESIDUAL SOLIDS HANDLING

To prevent water quality problems, the Permittee is required in permit condition S7 to store and handle all residual solids (grit, screenings, scum, sludge, and other solid waste) in accordance with the requirements of RCW 90.48.080 and State Water Quality Standards.

The final use and disposal of sewage sludge from this facility is regulated by U.S. EPA under 40 CFR 503. The disposal of other solid waste is under the jurisdiction of the County Health Department.

PRETREATMENT

Federal and State Pretreatment Program Requirements

Under the terms of the addendum to the “Memorandum of Understanding between Washington Department of Ecology and the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10” (1986), the Department of Ecology (Department) has been delegated authority to administer the Pretreatment Program [i.e., act as the Approval Authority for oversight of delegated Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs)]. Under this delegation of authority, the Department has exercised the option of issuing wastewater discharge permits for significant industrial users discharging to POTWs which have not been delegated authority to issue wastewater discharge permits.

There are a number of functions required by the Pretreatment Program which the Department is delegating to such POTWs because they are in a better position to implement the requirements (e.g., tracking the number and general nature of industrial dischargers to the sewerage system). The requirements for a Pretreatment Program are contained in Title 40, Part 403, of the Code of Federal Regulations. Under the requirements of the Pretreatment Program [40 CFR 403.8(f)(1)(iii)], the Department is required to approve, condition, or deny new discharges or a significant increase in the discharge for existing significant industrial users (SIUs) [40 CFR 403.8 (f)(1)(i)].

The Department is responsible for issuing State Waste Discharge Permits to SIUs and other industrial users of the Permittee's sewer system. Industrial dischargers must obtain these permits from the Department prior to the Permittee accepting the discharge [WAC 173-216-110(5)] (Industries discharging wastewater that is similar in character to domestic wastewater are not required to obtain a permit. Such dischargers should contact the Department to determine if a permit is required.). Industrial dischargers need to apply for a State Waste Discharge Permit sixty (60) days prior to commencing discharge. The conditions contained in the permits will include any applicable conditions for categorical discharges, loading limitations included in contracts with the POTW, and other conditions necessary to assure compliance with State Water Quality Standards and biosolids standards.

The Department requires this POTW to fulfill some of the functions required for the Pretreatment Program in the NPDES permit (e.g., tracking the number and general nature of industrial dischargers to the sewage system). The POTW's NPDES permit will require that all SIUs currently discharging to the POTW be identified and notified of the requirement to apply for a wastewater discharge permit from the Department. None of the obligations imposed on the POTW relieve an industrial or commercial discharger of its primary responsibility for obtaining a wastewater discharge permit (if required), including submittal of engineering reports prior to construction or modification of facilities [40 CFR 403.12(j) and WAC 173-216-070 and WAC 173-240-110, et seq.].

Wastewater Permit Required

RCW 90.48 and WAC 173-216-040 require SIUs to obtain a permit prior to discharge of industrial waste to the Permittee's sewerage system. This provision prohibits the POTW from accepting industrial wastewater from any such dischargers without authorization from the Department.

Requirements for Routine Identification and Reporting of Industrial Users

The NPDES permit requires non-delegated POTWs to "take continuous, routine measures to identify all existing, new, and proposed SIUs discharging to the Permittee's sewerage system." Examples of such routine measures include regular review of business tax licenses for existing businesses and review of water billing records and existing connection authorization records. System maintenance personnel can also be diligent during performance of their jobs in identifying and reporting as-yet unidentified industrial dischargers. Local newspapers, telephone directories, and word-of-mouth can also be important sources of information regarding new or existing discharges. The POTW is required to notify an industrial discharger, in writing, of their responsibilities regarding application for a State Waste Discharge Permit and to send a copy of the written notification to the Department. The Department will then take steps to solicit a State Waste Discharge Permit application.

Requirements for Performing an Industrial User Survey

This POTW has the potential to serve significant industrial or commercial users and is required to perform an Industrial User Survey. The goal of this survey is to develop a list of SIUs and of equal importance, to provide sufficient information about industries which discharge to the POTW, to determine which of them require issuance of State Waste Discharge Permits or other regulatory controls. An Industrial User Survey is an important part of the regulatory process used to prevent interference with treatment processes at the POTW and to prevent the exceedance of Water Quality Standards. The Industrial User Survey also can be used to contribute to the maintenance of sludge quality, so that sludge can be a useful biosolids product rather than an expensive waste problem. An Industrial User Survey is a rigorous method for identifying existing, new, and proposed significant industrial users and potential significant industrial users. A complete listing of methodologies is available in the Department of Ecology guidance document entitled "Conducting an Industrial User Survey."

Duty to Enforce Discharge Prohibitions

This provision prohibits the POTW from authorizing or permitting an industrial discharger to discharge certain types of waste into the sanitary sewer. The first portion of the provision prohibits acceptance of pollutants which cause pass through or interference. The definitions of pass through and interference are in Appendix B of the fact sheet.

The second portion of this provision prohibits the POTW from accepting certain specific types of wastes, namely those which are explosive, flammable, excessively acidic, basic, otherwise corrosive, or obstructive to the system. In addition, wastes with excessive BOD, petroleum-based oils, or which result in toxic gases are prohibited to be discharged. The regulatory basis for these prohibitions is 40 CFR, Part 403, with the exception of the pH provisions which are based on WAC 173-216-060.

The third portion of this provision prohibits certain types of discharges unless the POTW receives prior authorization from the Department. The discharges include cooling water in significant volumes, stormwater and other direct inflow sources, and wastewaters significantly affecting system hydraulic loading, which do not require treatment.

Support by the Department for Developing Partial Pretreatment Program by POTW

The Department has committed to providing technical and legal assistance to the Permittee in fulfilling these joint obligations, in particular, assistance with developing an adequate sewer use ordinance, notification procedures, enforcement guidelines, and developing local limits and inspection procedures.

OUTFALL EVALUATION

Proposed permit condition S.13 requires the Permittee to conduct an outfall inspection and submit a report detailing the findings of that inspection. The purpose of the inspection is to determine the condition of the discharge pipe and diffusers and to determine if sediment is accumulating in the vicinity of the outfall.

GENERAL CONDITIONS

General Conditions are based directly on state and federal law and regulations and have been standardized for all individual municipal NPDES permits issued by the Department.

PERMIT ISSUANCE PROCEDURES

PERMIT MODIFICATIONS

The Department may modify this permit to impose numerical limitations, if necessary, to meet Water Quality Standards, Sediment Quality Standards, or Ground Water Standards, based on new information obtained from sources such as inspections, effluent monitoring, outfall studies, and effluent mixing studies.

The Department may also modify this permit as a result of new or amended state or federal regulations.

RECOMMENDATION FOR PERMIT ISSUANCE

This proposed permit meets all statutory requirements for authorizing a wastewater discharge, including those limitations and conditions believed necessary to protect human health, aquatic life, and the beneficial uses of waters of the state of Washington. The Department proposes that this permit be issued for five (5) years.

REFERENCES FOR TEXT AND APPENDICES

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

1992. National Toxics Rule. Federal Register, V. 57, No. 246, Tuesday, December 22, 1992.
1991. Technical Support Document for Water Quality-based Toxics Control. EPA/505/2-90-001.
1988. Technical Guidance on Supplementary Stream Design Conditions for Steady State Modeling. USEPA Office of Water, Washington, D.C.
1985. Water Quality Assessment: A Screening Procedure for Toxic and Conventional Pollutants in Surface and Ground Water. EPA/600/6-85/002a.
1983. Water Quality Standards Handbook. USEPA Office of Water, Washington, D.C.

Metcalf and Eddy.

1991. Wastewater Engineering, Treatment, Disposal, and Reuse. Third Edition.

Tsivoglou, E.C., and J.R. Wallace.

1972. Characterization of Stream Reaeration Capacity. EPA-R3-72-012. (Cited in EPA 1985 op.cit.)

Washington State Department of Ecology.

1994. Permit Writer's Manual. Publication Number 92-109

Water Pollution Control Federation.

1976. Chlorination of Wastewater.

Wright, R.M., and A.J. McDonnell.

1979. In-stream Deoxygenation Rate Prediction. Journal Environmental Engineering Division, ASCE. 105(E2). (Cited in EPA 1985 op.cit.)

APPENDIX A--PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT INFORMATION

The Department has tentatively determined to reissue (or issue) a permit to the applicant listed on page one of this fact sheet. The permit contains conditions and effluent limitations which are described in the rest of this fact sheet.

Public Notice of Application (PNOA) was published on September 6, 2001, and September 13, 2001, in the *Snoqualmie Valley Record* to inform the public that an application had been submitted and to invite comment on the reissuance (or issuance) of this permit.

The Department will publish a Public Notice of Draft (PNOD) on August 1, 2002, in the *Snoqualmie Valley Record* to inform the public that a draft permit and fact sheet are available for review. Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the draft permit. The draft permit, fact sheet, and related documents are available for inspection and copying between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. weekdays, by appointment, at the regional office listed below. Written comments should be mailed to:

Water Quality Permit Coordinator
Department of Ecology
Northwest Regional Office
3190 - 160th Avenue SE
Bellevue, WA 98008-5452

Any interested party may comment on the draft permit or request a public hearing on this draft permit within the thirty (30)-day comment period to the address above. The request for a hearing shall indicate the interest of the party and the reasons why the hearing is warranted. The Department will hold a hearing if it determines there is a significant public interest in the draft permit (WAC 173-220-090). Public notice regarding any hearing will be circulated at least thirty (30) days in advance of the hearing. People expressing an interest in this permit will be mailed an individual notice of hearing (WAC 173-220-100).

Comments should reference specific text followed by proposed modification or concern when possible. Comments may address technical issues, accuracy and completeness of information, the scope of the facility's proposed coverage, adequacy of environmental protection, permit conditions, or any other concern that would result from issuance of this permit.

The Department will consider all comments received within thirty (30) days from the date of public notice of draft indicated above, in formulating a final determination to issue, revise, or deny the permit. The Department's response to all significant comments is available upon request and will be mailed directly to people expressing an interest in this permit.

Further information may be obtained from the Department by telephone, (425) 649-7201, or by writing to the address listed above.

This permit and fact sheet were written by Ed Abbasi.

APPENDIX B--GLOSSARY

Acute Toxicity--The lethal effect of a pollutant on an organism that occurs within a short period of time, usually 48 to 96 hours.

AKART--An acronym for “all known, available, and reasonable methods of prevention, control, and treatment.”

Ambient Water Quality--The existing environmental condition of the water in a receiving water body.

Ammonia--Ammonia is produced by the breakdown of nitrogenous materials in wastewater. Ammonia is toxic to aquatic organisms, exerts an oxygen demand, and contributes to eutrophication. It also increases the amount of chlorine needed to disinfect wastewater.

Average Monthly Discharge Limitation--The highest allowable average of daily discharges over a calendar month, calculated as the sum of all daily discharges measured during a calendar month divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that month (except in the case of fecal coliform). The daily discharge is calculated as the average measurement of the pollutant over the day.

Average Weekly Discharge Limitation--The highest allowable average of daily discharges over a calendar week, calculated as the sum of all daily discharges measured during a calendar week divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that week. The daily discharge is calculated as the average measurement of the pollutant over the day.

Best Management Practices (BMPs)--Schedules of activities, prohibitions of practices, maintenance procedures, and other physical, structural and/or managerial practices to prevent or reduce the pollution of waters of the state. BMPs include treatment systems, operating procedures, and practices to control: plant site runoff, spillage or leaks, sludge or waste disposal, or drainage from raw material storage. BMPs may be further categorized as operational, source control, erosion and sediment control, and treatment BMPs.

BOD₅--Determining the Biochemical Oxygen Demand of an effluent is an indirect way of measuring the quantity of organic material present in an effluent that is utilized by bacteria. The BOD₅ is used in modeling to measure the reduction of dissolved oxygen in a receiving water after effluent is discharged. Stress caused by reduced dissolved oxygen levels makes organisms less competitive and less able to sustain their species in the aquatic environment. Although BOD is not a specific compound, it is defined as a conventional pollutant under the federal Clean Water Act.

Bypass--The intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility.

CBOD₅--The quantity of oxygen utilized by a mixed population of microorganisms acting on the nutrients in the sample in an aerobic oxidation for five (5) days at a controlled temperature of 20 degrees Celsius, with an inhibitory agent added to prevent the oxidation of nitrogen compounds. The method for determining CBOD₅ is given in 40 CFR, Part 136.

Chlorine--Chlorine is used to disinfect wastewaters of pathogens harmful to human health. It is also extremely toxic to aquatic life.

Chronic Toxicity--The effect of a pollutant on an organism over a relatively long time, often 1/10 of an organism's life span or more. Chronic toxicity can measure survival, reproduction or growth rates, or other parameters to measure the toxic effects of a compound or combination of compounds.

Clean Water Act (CWA)--The Federal Water Pollution Control Act enacted by Public Law 92-500, as amended by Public Laws 95-217, 95-576, 96-483, 97-117; USC 1251 et seq.

Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO)--The event during which excess combined sewage flow caused by inflow is discharged from a combined sewer, rather than conveyed to the sewage treatment plant because either the capacity of the treatment plant or the combined sewer is exceeded.

Compliance Inspection - Without Sampling--A site visit for the purpose of determining the compliance of a facility with the terms and conditions of its permit or with applicable statutes and regulations.

Compliance Inspection - With Sampling--A site visit to accomplish the purpose of a Compliance Inspection - Without Sampling and as a minimum, sampling and analysis for all parameters with limits in the permit to ascertain compliance with those limits; and, for municipal facilities, sampling of influent to ascertain compliance with the percent removal requirement. Additional sampling may be conducted.

Composite Sample--A mixture of grab samples collected at the same sampling point at different times, formed either by continuous sampling or by mixing a minimum of four discrete samples. May be "time-composite" (collected at constant time intervals) or "flow-proportional" (collected either as a constant sample volume at time intervals proportional to stream flow, or collected by increasing the volume of each aliquot as the flow increased while maintaining a constant time interval between the aliquots).

Construction Activity--Clearing, grading, excavation and any other activity which disturbs the surface of the land. Such activities may include road building, construction of residential houses, office buildings, or industrial buildings, and demolition activity.

Continuous Monitoring--Uninterrupted, unless otherwise noted in the permit.

Critical Condition--The time during which the combination of receiving water and waste discharge conditions have the highest potential for causing toxicity in the receiving water environment. This situation usually occurs when the flow within a water body is low, thus, its ability to dilute effluent is reduced.

Dilution Factor--A measure of the amount of mixing of effluent and receiving water that occurs at the boundary of the mixing zone. Expressed as the inverse of the effluent fraction, e.g., a dilution factor of 10 means the effluent comprises 10% by volume and the receiving water 90%.

Engineering Report--A document which thoroughly examines the engineering and administrative aspects of a particular domestic or industrial wastewater facility. The report shall contain the appropriate information required in WAC 173-240-060 or 173-240-130.

Fecal Coliform Bacteria--Fecal coliform bacteria are used as indicators of pathogenic bacteria in the effluent that are harmful to humans. Pathogenic bacteria in wastewater discharges are controlled by disinfecting the wastewater. The presence of high numbers of fecal coliform bacteria in a water body can indicate the recent release of untreated wastewater and/or the presence of animal feces.

Grab Sample--A single sample or measurement taken at a specific time or over as short period of time as is feasible.

Industrial User--A discharger of wastewater to the sanitary sewer which is not sanitary wastewater or is not equivalent to sanitary wastewater in character.

Industrial Wastewater--Water or liquid-carried waste from industrial or commercial processes, as distinct from domestic wastewater. These wastes may result from any process or activity of industry, manufacture, trade or business, from the development of any natural resource, or from animal operations such as feed lots, poultry houses, or dairies. The term includes contaminated storm water and, also, leachate from solid waste facilities.

Infiltration and Inflow (I/I)--"Infiltration" means the addition of ground water into a sewer through joints, the sewer pipe material, cracks, and other defects. "Inflow" means the addition of precipitation-caused drainage from roof drains, yard drains, basement drains, street catch basins, etc., into a sewer.

Interference--A discharge which, alone or in conjunction with a discharge or discharges from other sources, both:

- Inhibits or disrupts the POTW, its treatment processes or operations, or its sludge processes, use or disposal, and
- Therefore is a cause of a violation of any requirement of the POTW's NPDES permit (including an increase in the magnitude or duration of a violation) or of the prevention of sewage sludge use or disposal in compliance with the following statutory provisions and regulations or permits issued thereunder (or more stringent state or local regulations): Section 405 of the Clean Water Act, the Solid Waste Disposal Act (SWDA) [including title II, more commonly referred to as the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), and including state regulations contained in any state sludge management plan prepared pursuant to subtitle D of the SWDA], sludge regulations appearing in 40 CFR, Part 507, the Clean Air Act, the Toxic Substances Control Act, and the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act.

Major Facility--A facility discharging to surface water with an EPA rating score of >80 points based on such factors as flow volume, toxic pollutant potential, and public health impact.

Maximum Daily Discharge Limitation--The highest allowable daily discharge of a pollutant measured during a calendar day or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents the calendar day for purposes of sampling. The daily discharge is calculated as the average measurement of the pollutant over the day.

Method Detection Level (MDL)--The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is above zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix containing the analyte.

Minor Facility--A facility discharging to surface water with an EPA rating score of <80 points based on such factors as flow volume, toxic pollutant potential, and public health impact.

Mixing Zone--A volume that surrounds an effluent discharge within which water quality criteria may be exceeded. The area of the authorized mixing zone is specified in a facility's permit and follows procedures outlined in state regulations (Chapter 173-201A WAC).

National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)--The NPDES (Section 402 of the Clean Water Act) is the federal wastewater permitting system for discharges to navigable waters of the United States. Many states, including the state of Washington, have been delegated the authority to issue these permits. NPDES permits issued by Washington State permit writers are joint NPDES/State permits issued under both state and federal laws.

Pass Through--A discharge which exits the POTW into waters of the state in quantities or concentrations which, alone or in conjunction with a discharge or discharges from other sources, is a cause of a violation of any requirement of the POTW's NPDES permit (including an increase in the magnitude or duration of a violation), or which is a cause of a violation of State Water Quality Standards.

pH--The pH of a liquid measures its acidity or alkalinity. A pH of 7 is defined as neutral, and large variations above or below this value are considered harmful to most aquatic life.

Potential Significant Industrial User--A potential significant industrial user is defined as an industrial user which does not meet the criteria for a significant industrial user, but which discharges wastewater meeting one or more of the following criteria:

- a. Exceeds 0.5 % of treatment plant design capacity criteria and discharges <25,000 gallons per day; or
- b. Is a member of a group of similar industrial users which, taken together, have the potential to cause pass through or interference at the POTW (e.g., facilities which develop photographic film or paper, and car washes).

The Department may determine that a discharger initially classified as a potential significant industrial user should be managed as a significant industrial user.

Quantitation Level (QL)--A calculated value five times the MDL (method detection level).

Significant Industrial User (SIU)--

- 1) All industrial users subject to Categorical Pretreatment Standards under 40 CFR 403.6 and 40 CFR Chapter I, Subchapter N; and
- 2) Any other industrial user that: discharges an average of 25,000 gallons per day or more of process wastewater to the POTW (excluding sanitary, non-contact cooling, and boiler blow-down wastewater); contributes a process wastestream that makes up 5 percent or more of the average dry weather hydraulic or organic capacity of the POTW treatment plant; or is designated as such by the Control Authority* on the basis that the industrial user has a reasonable potential for adversely affecting the POTW's operation or for violating any pretreatment standard or requirement [in accordance with 40 CFR 403.8(f)(6)].

Upon finding that the industrial user meeting the criteria in paragraph 2, above, has no reasonable potential for adversely affecting the POTW's operation or for violating any pretreatment standard or requirement, the Control Authority* may at any time, on its own initiative or in response to a petition received from an industrial user or POTW, and in accordance with 40 CFR 403.8(f)(6), determine that such industrial user is not a significant industrial user.

*The term "Control Authority" refers to the Washington State Department of Ecology in the case of non-delegated POTWs or to the POTW in the case of delegated POTWs.

State Waters--Lakes, rivers, ponds, streams, inland waters, underground waters, salt waters, wetlands, and all other surface waters and watercourses within the jurisdiction of the state of Washington.

Stormwater--That portion of precipitation that does not naturally percolate into the ground or evaporate, but flows via overland flow, inter-flow, pipes, and other features of a storm water drainage system into a defined surface water body, or a constructed infiltration facility.

Technology-based Effluent Limit--A permit limit that is based on the ability of a treatment method to reduce the pollutant.

Total Suspended Solids (TSS)--Total suspended solids are the particulate materials in an effluent. Large quantities of TSS discharged to a receiving water may result in solids accumulation. Apart from any toxic effects attributable to substances leached out by water, suspended solids may kill fish, shellfish, and other aquatic organisms by causing abrasive injuries and by clogging the gills and respiratory passages of various aquatic fauna. Indirectly, suspended solids can screen out light and can promote and maintain the development of noxious conditions through oxygen depletion.

Upset--An exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology-based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the Permittee. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, lack of preventative maintenance, or careless or improper operation.

Water Quality-based Effluent Limit--A limit on the concentration or mass of an effluent parameter that is intended to prevent the concentration of that parameter from exceeding its water quality criterion after it is discharged into a receiving water.

APPENDIX C--TECHNICAL CALCULATIONS

Several of the Excel[®] spreadsheet tools used to evaluate a discharger's ability to meet Washington State Water Quality Standards can be found on the Department's homepage at <http://www.ecy.wa.gov>.